

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,139	03/03/2004	Charles P. Schultz	CE11954JUI	4857	
34952 7	590 08/23/2005		. EXAM	. EXAMINER	
•	I, GIBBONS, GUTM	NGUYEN, BII	NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC		
& BIANCO P.: 551 N.W. 77TI	L. H STREET, SUITE 111	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	BOCA RATON, FL 33487			3713	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <i>0'</i>
	10/792,139	SCHULTZ, CHARLE	ES P.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2004.		
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· ·		merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	itage
Attachment(s)	" Г		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-14, 16-18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Puskala (6,908,389).

Referring to claims 1 and 9, Puskala teaches a device (and method thereto) for facilitating a multiplayer game using a plurality of wireless devices that are connected via a wireless network, comprising: an input interface for receiving a request to transfer information with at least one other wireless device during the multiplayer game (Figs.5A-6; 6:1-27), the information being at least one of text, audio, and image information (3:18-32); a game framework component for determining whether the requested transfer is permitted according to a set of predefined rules for the multiplayer game (2:10-62); and a communication interface for transferring the information with the at least one other wireless device via a wireless network only if it is determined that the predefined rules permit the requested transfer (2:10-22).

Referring to claim 16, Puskala teaches a server (game platform 40, Fig.1) for facilitating a multiplayer game over a wireless network (6:45-63), the server comprising:

Art Unit: 3713

an input interface for receiving a request to transfer information between at least two wireless devices (10, 20) during the multiplayer game, the information being at least one of text, audio, and image information (3:18-32; 7:16-49); a game framework component for determining whether the requested transfer is permitted according to a set of predefined rules for the multiplayer game (5:1-57); and a communication interface for transferring the information between the at least two wireless devices via a wireless network only if it is determined that the predefined rules permit the requested transfer (2:10-22; 6:45-63).

Referring to claims 2 and 10, Puskala teaches the at least two wireless devices are mobile telephones and the wireless network is a mobile telephone network (Fig.1; 4:37-59).

Referring to claims 14 and 21, wherein a rule interface for sponsoring the multiplayer game by providing the set of predefined rules to the other wireless device (claim 14); and the game framework component determines the outcome of the game based at least partially on the predefined rules (claim 21); these limitations are inherent from the games played by the wireless devices such as checkers or action games which are based on predetermined rules (7:51-6:43; 10:14-64).

Referring to claims 3, 4-6, 11-14, 17, 18, 20 and 21, Puskala teaches each of the at least two wireless devices is operated by a participant of the multiplayer game (2:3-22); at least some of the plurality of wireless devices are operated by participants that are divided into a plurality of teams, and in the determining step, the determination is based at least partially on whether the at least two wireless devices are operated by

Art Unit: 3713

participants on the same team or participants on different teams (11:12-58); determining whether a first of the at least two wireless devices is operated by a participant or a non-participating viewer; determining whether a second of the at least two wireless devices is operated by a participant or a non-participating viewer; and determining whether the requested transfer is permitted according to the predefined rules that apply to at least one of participants and viewers of the multiplayer game (11:12-58); sponsoring the multiplayer game by providing the set of predefined rules; storage for storing the set of predefined rules (2:23-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 8, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Puskala (6,908,389) in view of Weisman et al. (2004/0047461).

Puskala teaches all limitations of claims 1-6, 9-14, 16-18, 20, and 21 above.

Puskala does not explicitly teach sending a notification to at least one of the at least two wireless devices, if it is determined that the predetermined rules do not permit the requested transfer (claim 7); and the multiplayer game is a multiplayer reality game and the information is a live image or live video (claims 8, 15, and 19). Weisman et al., however, teaches a system and method for wireless conference/game wherein the

participants can view each other live via the wireless devices (paragraphs 139-142). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the continuous wireless live video streaming method of Weisman et al. to the multiplayer gaming system of Puskala to provide uninterrupted live view of the players and enhance reality to the interactive gaming environment thus attract more players to the mobile gaming network.

Referring to the limitation of claim 7, wherein sending a notification to at least one of the at least two wireless devices, if it is determined that the predetermined rules do not permit the requested transfer; the examiner hereby take an Official notice that it is obvious in video gaming to inform the players of noncompliant game commands or requests to enhance user friendly interface.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,139

Art Unit: 3713

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BN

XUAN M.THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC3700